

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/688,441 | 10/16/2000 | Bayard S. Webb | 0112300/141 | 1896 | |
| 29159 | 7590 07/02/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC | | | ASHBURN, STEVEN L | | |
| P. O. BOX 11: CHICAGO. I | 35 L 60690-1135 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3714 | 3714 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | A | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | _ |
| Advisory Action | 09/688,441 | WEBB ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Steven Ashburn | 3714 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (* condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | a timely filed amendment whice | ation. A proper reply to a | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin. S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TIED date on which the petition under 37 CF | ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension | n |
| ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | ก |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | pecause: | | |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mate | erially reducing or simplifying the | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: The amendment adds the limitation that | the rounds are independent. | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | idered but does NOT place the | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 29-33. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 20-28</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app | proved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | |
| 10. Other: | | | |
| | | MARK SAGER | |
| | | PRIMARY EXAMINER | |